IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE THIRTEENTH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

**THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA**

**WRIT PETITION NO: 18607 of 2000**

Between:

1 Nalgonda College of Arts & Science Nalgonda Rep by its

Correspondent T.Purushotham Reddy, Nalgonda. S/o. Linga

Reddy.
2 K.N.M.Degree College, Repby its Correspondent Gunturu Rama

Rao, S/o. Late Venkaiah R/o. Miryalaguda, Nalgonda District.
3 V.R.K.Degree College, Nagarkurnool, Mahabubnagar, Rep by its

Secretary/CorrespondentS.Krishna Mohan Rao,
R/o. Nagarkurnool, S/o. Late S.V.Narsimha Rao,
4 Sri Chaitanya Degree College, Chityal,
Rep by its Secretary G.Narayan Reddy, S/o. Ram Reddy, Hindu,
Chityal, Nalgonda DIstrict.
5 Sai Krishna College, Metpally, Rep by its Correspondent
Balachander-P S/o. Late Pullaiah, Metpally,
Mahabubnagar District.
6 R.K.L.K.COllege, Suryapet, Rep by its Correspondent
A.Nagarjuna, S/o. Balakristaiah, Suryapet, Nalgonda District.
7 Sri Sai Triveni B.C.A.College, Suryapet,
Rep by its Vice Chairman A.Venkat Reddy,S/o. Linga Reddy,
Suryapet. Nalgonda District.

**... PETITIONERS**

AND

1 The Government of Andhra Pradesh Rep by its Secretary,
Higher Education Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2 The Chairman
A.P.State Council of Higher Education Hyderabad.

3 The Registrar,
Osmania University, Hyderabad.

**....RESPONDENTS**

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ, order or direction more in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondent in conducting the counseling for the year 2000-2001 as illegal, unjust, arbitrary, without jurisdiction, subversive of Articles 14,16,19 (1)(G) and 21 of the Constitution of India apart from the principle of natural justice and consequently direct the respondent to conduct the re-counseling for admission into 1st year B.C.A.Cource for the year 2000-2001 by following Roaster system.

**Counsel for the Petitioners: MR.E.V.S.S.ACHARYULU**

**Counsel for the Respondents1 & 2: GP FOR HIGHER EDUCATION**

The Court made the following:

**ORDER:**

It is represented by the learned counsel for the petitioners that the subject matter in the writ petition has become infructuous.

Recording the same, the writ petition is dismissed as having become infructuous. No costs.

_____

**N.V. RAMANA, J**

June 13, 2005

**MRR**

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //

SECTION OFFICER

**Copy to. –**

1 The Secretary, Govt. of Andhra Pradesh,
Higher Education Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2 The Chairman, A.P.State Council of Higher Education Hyderabad.
3 The Registrar, Osmania University, Hyderabad.

*Two C.C. s to the G.P. for Higher Education, High Court of A.P.,*

*Hyderabad (OUT)*

*Two C.D. Copies.*